

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,896	(09/09/2003	Allan William Rainey	065119.0222	2644	
5073	7590	03/21/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER B			MAI, NGOCLAN THI			
2001 ROSS SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS,	TX 75201	-2980	1742			

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Δ				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/657,	896	RAINEY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Ngoclan	T. Mai	1742					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIDE INSIDE IN INSIDE IN INSIDE IN INSIDE IN INSIDE IN INSIDE IN INSIDE IN	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATE event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro oplication to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this of the NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on							
/	,	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,12-25,27-37 and 39-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 11,26 and 38 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje		-						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•			• •				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
3) X Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/03, 2/28/05</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 10, 13-16, 27, 28, 39, 40, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,869,329).

Kar et al. disclose a method for making improved inserts for rock bits comprising placing the inserts into a vibratory or a rotary tumblers with water and a small amount of detergent, abstract and col. 3, I. 45-62. The inserts can be sintered (cemented) tungsten carbide, i.e., tungsten carbide bonded with cobalt by sintering or polycrystalline diamond, col. 1, I. 12-15. Kar et al teach during the vibratory or rotary motion of the tumbler the inserts randomly impact against each other. Note that this reads the claimed cascading step.

As for claim 10, Kar et al disclosed the sintered carbide inserts are ground before placing in the tumbler, col. 3, I. 52-54.

As for claims 14, 27, and 39, Kar et al teach the tumbling action increase the surface hardness and improve the toughness of the inserts, abstract and col. 2, l. 61-68.

As for claim 15, Kar et al disclose sintered tungsten carbide inserts are formed by liquid phase sintering, col. 2, lines 2-13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented

Application/Control Number: 10/657,896 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-6, 8-9, 12, 17-23, 25, 29-35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kar et al in view of Hoffman (U.S. Patent No. 6,875,081).

The differences between the claims and Kar et al are that Kar et al do not teach the speed of the tumbling machine, selecting the speed based upon an average mass of the components, material grade, size and geometry of the plurality components or selecting a volume of each barrel.

Hoffman discloses a method for forming cutting tool having a harder and smoother surface employing rotational process apparatus (col. 8, lines 19-24) where the operating speed and the time for the process are approximately 175 RPM and 30-60 minutes for the first stage and 120 RPM for 60 minutes for the second stage. The rotational process apparatus is a high speed centrifugal finishing apparatus comprising plurality of vessels, i.e., containers (34 in Fig. 4) radially disposed around a spindle (32 in Fig. 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the rotational process apparatus taught by Hoffman since doing so many inserts for rock bits can be process as the same time. Since Hoffman teaches the rotating speed and time as disclosed above can be used to also form cutting tool having harder and smoother surface, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the rotating speed and time for processing cutting tool taught by Hoffman be employ to also processing the cutting tool of Kar et al.

Determination of an optimum or preferred speed and time used to forming cutting tool having the desired surface properties is within the level of one skill in the art and would have been obvious. The selecting of speed and time for the cutting tool as disclosed by Hoffman inherently is based upon the grade, mass, size and geometry of the cutting tool components.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,896 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

5. claims 7, 24, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kar et al in

view of Hoffman and GP 1,249,056.

The difference between the claims and Kar et al in view of Hoffman is that there is no teaching of

using containers having hexagonal shape. However it is conventionally known in the art to used grinding

apparatus having hexagonal-shape container, see GP 1,249,056, figure 4. Thus it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the multiple vessels or

containers of Hoffman be made to have hexagonal shape as taught by GP 1,249,056 to perform the

process taught by Kar for forming improved sintered tungsten carbide inserts for rock bit.

6. Claims 11, 26, and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally

be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy

King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742

n.m.